



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,659	02/25/2004	Karen O'Leary	63193.00001	2842

7590 10/05/2005

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  
Two Renaissance Square  
Suite 2700  
40 North Central Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-4498

EXAMINER
----------

TRAN, HANH VAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3637

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*KL*

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/786,659

Applicant(s)

O'LEARY

Examiner

Hanh V. Tran

Art Unit

3637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Office Action on the Merits from the examiner in charge of this application.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 3,629,960 to Roush.

Roush discloses an apparatus for providing privacy for an individual at a workspace, comprising, such as shown in Fig 1, a front panel 10; a first side panel 12 coupled to a first portion of the front panel; and a second side panel 14 coupled to a second portion of the front panel, the panels having a first configuration wherein the panels may stand on a surface of the workspace to provide the privacy and a second configuration wherein the panels may be folded along the first portion of the front panel and the second portion of the front panel, the second configuration comprises a stack of the panels, one or more laminas over one or more of the panels, an indicator coupled to one or more of the panels, the indicator having a plurality of configurations, one configuration of the indicator indicates that the individual is working on an assignment and another configuration of the indicator indicates that the individual has completed the assignment, the indicator comprises a rotatable member, means for retaining a writing

Art Unit: 3637

instrument, the retaining means being coupled to one or more of the panels, means for displaying marking, the displaying means being coupled to one or more of the panels, the marking comprises one or more of a name and goals for the individual, the panels have a thematic silhouette in at least the first configuration, means for retaining an award earned by the individual, the retaining means being coupled to one or more of the panels.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 3,538,976 to Gilbert.

Gilbert discloses an apparatus for providing privacy for an individual at a workspace, comprising, such as shown in Fig 1, a front panel 10; a first side panel 12 coupled to a first portion of the front panel; and a second side panel 14 coupled to a second portion of the front panel, the panels having a first configuration wherein the panels may stand on a surface of the workspace to provide the privacy and a second configuration wherein the panels may be folded along the first portion of the front panel and the second portion of the front panel, wherein the first configuration comprises a concave arrangement of the panels wherein each panel has a bottom portion resting on the surface of the workspace, the second configuration comprises a stack of the panels, one or more laminas over one or more of the panels.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3637

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 13, 15, 17-18, and 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roush.

Roush discloses all the elements as discussed above except for the thematic silhouette comprises a castle-like silhouette, and the method steps recited in said claims.

However, since Roush already teaches the idea of providing thematic silhouette to the apparatus, it would have been obvious and well within the level of one skill in the art to modify the structure of Roush by having the thematic silhouette comprising a castle-like silhouette. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice for aesthetic purpose to use various thematic silhouette. In regard to the method claims, since Roush, as modified, discloses all the elements recited in said claims, it would have been well within the level of one skill in the art to perform the method steps recited therein.

8. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert.

Art Unit: 3637

Gilbert discloses all the elements as discussed above except for the method steps recited in said claims. However, since Gilbert discloses all the elements recited in said claims, it would have been well within the level of one skill in the art to perform the method steps recited therein.

### ***Conclusion***

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kelley et al, Albrecht et al, Huff, Sutton, Safranek, Stephens, Grant, and Tanaka all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



HVT

October 2, 2005

**Hanh V. Tran**  
**Art Unit 3637**